FILED United States Court of Appeals Tenth Circuit

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

December 12, 2017

Elisabeth A. Shumaker Clerk of Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

JONATHAN SANDOVAL,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 17-2062 (D.C. Nos. 1:16-CV-00410-LH-CG & 1:11-CR-02992-LH-1) (D. N.M.)

ORDER DENYING

CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILTIY

Before TYMKOVICH, Chief Judge, HARTZ and O'BRIEN, Circuit Judges.

Jonathan Sandoval is a federal prisoner who seeks a certificate of appealability (COA) to appeal the denial of his motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (requiring a COA to appeal the denial of a § 2255 motion). After he pleaded guilty to being a felon in possession of ammunition, 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1), the district court sentenced him to 15 years in prison under the Armed Career Criminal Act (ACCA), *see id.* § 924(e)(1), based on at least three prior convictions in

^{*} After examining the briefs and appellate record, this panel has determined unanimously that oral argument would not materially assist in the determination of this matter. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); 10th Cir. R. 34.1(G). The case is therefore ordered submitted without oral argument. This order is not binding precedent, except under the doctrines of law of the case, res judicata, and collateral estoppel. It may be cited, however, for its persuasive value consistent with Fed. R. App. P. 32.1 and 10th Cir. R. 32.1.

New Mexico for residential burglary, *see* N.M. Stat. Ann. § 30-16-3(A). Sandoval moved to vacate his sentence under § 2255, arguing residential burglary encompasses more conduct than generic burglary for purposes of applying the ACCA. The district court denied relief and also denied a COA.

To obtain a COA from this court, Sandoval must make "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). He must show "reasonable jurists could debate whether (or, for that matter, agree that) the petition should have been resolved in a different manner or that the issues presented were adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further." *Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336 (2003) (internal quotation marks omitted).

Sandoval's arguments might have been debatable before our decision in *United States v. Turrieta*, No. 16-2281, 2017 WL 5709612 (10th Cir. Nov. 28, 2017), but they are no longer. *Turrieta* held a New Mexico conviction for residential burglary qualifies as a generic burglary under the ACCA. *Id.* at *6. Thus, no reasonable jurist

Burglary consists of the unauthorized entry of any vehicle, watercraft, aircraft, dwelling or other structure, movable or immovable, with the intent to commit any felony or theft therein.

¹ New Mexico's burglary statute states:

A. Any person who, without authorization, enters a dwelling house with intent to commit any felony or theft therein is guilty of a third degree felony.

B. Any person who, without authorization, enters any vehicle, watercraft, aircraft or other structure, movable or immovable, with intent to commit any felony or theft therein is guilty of a fourth degree felony.

would debate the district court's decision or debate whether Sandoval's arguments deserve encouragement to proceed further. They do not. Accordingly, we deny a COA and dismiss this appeal.

Entered for the Court

Terrence L. O'Brien Circuit Judge