Appellate Case: 14-1014 Document: 01019247619 Date Filed: 05/12/2014 Page: 1

United States Court of Appeals Tenth Circuit

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

May 12, 2014

	TENTH CIRCUIT	Elisabeth A. Shumaker Clerk of Court	
QUETZELINA LUBE,			
Plaintiff-Appellant,			
		No. 14-1014	
V.	(D.C. No. 1:02-0	CV-02158-MSK-PAC)	
	(D	. Colo.)	
Plaintiff-Appellant,	(D.C. No. 1:02-0	CV-02158-MSK-PAC)	

NCO FINANCIAL SERVICES,

Defendant-Appellee.

ORDER AND JUDGMENT*

Before GORSUCH, MURPHY, and HOLMES, Circuit Judges.

Quetzelina Lube's appeal comes to us almost a decade after her suit was dismissed. Since that dismissal Ms. Lube has filed repeated motions for reconsideration. This appeal concerns the latest effort. We discern no reversible error in the district court's decision to deny Ms. Lube's current motion. Even viewing it generously, the two paragraph pleading does not specify grounds for reconsideration sufficient to meet the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b). And

^{*} After examining the brief and appellate record, this panel has determined unanimously that oral argument would not materially assist the determination of this appeal. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2) and 10th Cir. R. 34.1(G). The case is therefore ordered submitted without oral argument. This order and judgment is not binding precedent except under the doctrines of law of the case, res judicata, and collateral estoppel. It may be cited, however, for its persuasive value consistent with Fed. R. App. P. 32.1 and 10th Cir. R. 32.1.

Appellate Case: 14-1014 Document: 01019247619 Date Filed: 05/12/2014 Page: 2

to the extent Ms. Lube seeks to challenge the original dismissal of her suit, the time for that appeal has passed.

Affirmed.

ENTERED FOR THE COURT

Neil M. Gorsuch Circuit Judge