## FILED United States Court of Appeals Tenth Circuit

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

October 10, 2013

## TENTH CIRCUIT

Elisabeth A. Shumaker Clerk of Court

TOM KRAMER; MONICA KRAMER,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

v.

DONN VIGIL; FRANCIS MARTINEZ; RIO GRAND SAVING & LOAN ASSOCIATION; BENJAMIN F. GIBBONS; JAMES A. CASEY,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 13-1265 (D.C. No. 1:13-CV-00142-PAB-KLM) (D. Colorado)

## ORDER AND JUDGMENT\*

Before **HARTZ**, **O'BRIEN** and **GORSUCH**, Circuit Judges.

Upset with foreclosure proceedings brought against them in state court by Rio Grande Saving & Loan Association, Tom and Monica Kramer brought a suit

<sup>\*</sup>After examining the briefs and appellate record, this panel has determined unanimously to grant the parties' request for a decision on the briefs without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(f) and 10th Cir. R. 34.1(G). See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2) and 10th Cir. R. 34.1(G). The case is therefore ordered submitted without oral argument. This order and judgment is not binding precedent, except under the doctrines of law of the case, res judicata, and collateral estoppel. It may be cited, however, for its persuasive value consistent with Fed. R. App. P. 32.1 and 10th Cir. R. 32.1.

Appellate Case: 13-1265 Document: 01019140004 Date Filed: 10/10/2013 Page: 2

in federal court under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and other civil-rights laws against the presiding state magistrate judge, Rio Grande, Rio Grande's branch manager, and Rio Grande's attorney. The district court dismissed the claims because (1) there was no state action by Rio Grande, its manager, or its attorney; and (2) the magistrate judge is entitled to judicial immunity. The court also denied the Kramers' request for permission to amend their complaint because any amendment would be futile. For the reasons stated in the district court's opinion, we affirm the judgment below. We deny Mr. Kramer's request to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

ENTERED FOR THE COURT

Harris L Hartz Circuit Judge