JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE TENTH CIRCUIT

IN RE: COMPLAINT UNDER THE JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND

**DISABILITY ACT** 

No. 10-22-90006

Before **HOLMES**, Chief Judge

**MEMORANDUM & ORDER** 

Complainant has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a district judge in this circuit. My consideration of this complaint is governed by the misconduct rules issued by the Judicial Conference of the United States, entitled *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings* (the "JCD Rules"), the federal statutes addressing judicial conduct and disability, 28 U.S.C. § 351 *et seq.*, and relevant prior decisions of the full Judicial Council of this circuit that are consistent with those authorities.

The JCD Rules and this circuit's local misconduct rules are available to complainants on the Tenth Circuit's web page at:

https://www.ca10.uscourts.gov/ce/misconduct. Paper copies are also furnished by the Circuit Executive's Office upon request. In accordance with those rules, the names of the complainant and subject judge shall not be disclosed in this order. *See* JCD Rule 11(g)(2).

1

Complainant, filing pro se, alleges the judge engaged in misconduct while presiding over a civil case in which she had an interest. Although the thrust of Complainant's concerns are directly tied to rulings made by the judge in the underlying matter, she also alleges the rulings with which she disagrees were motivated by bias or a conspiracy with plaintiff's counsel and various parties unrelated to the litigation, who Complainant believes have a vested commercial interest in the failure of her claims in the matter below. These claims are not cognizable as misconduct because they are "directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling." JCD Rule 11(c)(1)(B); see also Commentary to JCD Rule 4 (stating that "[a]ny allegation that calls into question the correctness of an official decision or procedural ruling of a judge—without more—is merits-related").

Additionally, while allegations of conspiracy can state a valid claim for misconduct even when the alleged conspiracy relates to a judge's ruling, *see*Commentary to JCD Rule 4, this conspiracy claim fails because it is completely unsupported. Complainant offers no evidence of the conspiracy she hints toward, and instead infers that a conspiracy must have existed given the judge's rulings in her matter. The JCD Rules require complainants to support their allegations with "sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred." *See* JCD Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

Accordingly, this complaint is dismissed pursuant to JCD Rule 11(c). The Circuit Executive is directed to transmit this order to complainant and copies to the subject judge and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. *See* JCD Rule 11(g)(2). To seek review of this order, complainant must file a petition for review

by the Judicial Council. The requirements for filing a petition for review are set out in JCD Rule 18(b). The petition must be filed with the Office of the Circuit Executive within 42 days after the date of the chief judge's order. *Id*.

So ordered this 27th day of December, 2024.

Honorable Jerome A. Holmes

Jame a. Holeman

Chief Circuit Judge