

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE  
TENTH CIRCUIT**

IN RE: CHARGE OF JUDICIAL  
MISCONDUCT

No. 10-10-90020

Before **BRISCOE**, Chief Judge.

**ORDER**

Complainant has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a magistrate judge in this circuit. My consideration of this complaint is governed by 1) the misconduct rules issued by the Judicial Conference of the United States, entitled *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings* (the “Misconduct Rules”); 2) the federal statute dealing with judicial misconduct, 28 U.S.C. § 351 *et seq.*, and 3) the “Breyer Report,” a study by the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act Study Committee, headed by Supreme Court Justice Stephen Breyer, entitled *Implementation of the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980*. The Breyer Report may be found at: <http://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/breyercommitteereport.pdf>. To the extent that there are any relevant prior decisions of the full Judicial Council of this circuit which are consistent with those authorities, they may also govern my consideration of this complaint.

Complainant has been provided with a copy of the Misconduct Rules, and the Rules are also accessible on the Tenth Circuit’s web page at: <http://www.ca10.uscourts.gov/misconduct.php>. In accord with those rules, the

names of the complainant and subject judge shall not be disclosed in this order. *See* Misconduct Rule 11(g)(2).

In an initial complaint and supplemental document, complainant takes issue with a recommendation of the subject judge in an underlying case, which recommendation was later adopted by the district court. Complainant contends that the subject judge ignored the facts and overwhelming evidence in making the recommendation. These claims are not cognizable as misconduct because they are “directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling.” Misconduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B). As explained in the Breyer Report, this exclusion of matters related to the merits of underlying cases protects the independence of the judges deciding those cases. *See* Breyer Report, App. E., ¶ 2. No other basis for misconduct by the subject judge is presented.

In the supplemental document, complainant contends that persons other than the subject judge have covered up the allegedly illegal ruling by the court. These misconduct procedures apply only to federal judges, *see* Misconduct Rule 4, and therefore these additional allegations will not be addressed.

Accordingly, this complaint is dismissed pursuant to Misconduct Rule 11(c). The Circuit Executive is directed to transmit this order to complainant and copies to the subject judge and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. *See* Misconduct Rule 11(g)(2). To seek review of this order, complainant must file a petition for review by the Judicial Council. The

requirements for filing a petition for review are set out in Misconduct Rule 18(b). The petition must be filed with the Office of the Circuit Executive within 35 days of the date of the letter transmitting this order. *Id.*

So ordered this 20th day of October, 2010.

/s/ Mary Beck Briscoe

Honorable Mary Beck Briscoe  
Chief Circuit Judge